

Physical Science International Journal

26(1): 35-42, 2022; Article no.PSIJ.85358 ISSN: 2348-0130

## **Mixed-mode Oscillations in Filippov System**

Shaomin Chen<sup>a\*</sup>, Jiahao Zhao<sup>a</sup> and Qinsheng Bi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Civil Engineering and Mechanics, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, China.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/PSIJ/2022/v26i130305

Received 20 January 2022 Accepted 28 March 2022

Published 31 March 2022

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85358

**Original Research Article** 

## ABSTRACT

The mechanism of the mixed mode oscillations of a class of non-smooth Filippov systems under multistable coexistence was studied in this paper. Based on a Lorenz-type chaotic model with multiattractor coexistence, the Filippov system was established by introducing non-smooth terms as well as an external excitation. With multiple stable attractors in the discontinuous vector field, the parameter changes have led to complex transition patterns between the attractors and the nonsmooth interface, or between the attractors. When an order gap exists between the exciting frequency and the natural frequency, implying the mixed-mode oscillations. Here we have taken several excitation amplitudes to cover different coexistence regions, a set of mixed mode oscillation patterns were obtained. Besides, the bifurcation set of two generalized autonomous subsystems and the coexistence region of attractors were discussed. Combined with the transformed phase diagram method, the bifurcation mechanism of bursting oscillation and the sliding dynamical behaviors of the system at the discontinuous interface has revealed with slow varying parameters access in different regions of multistable attractors coexistence. The alternations between quiescent and spiking states become more frequent and complex, leading to the change of the structure of the bursting oscillation modes. Moreover, the non-smooth partition interface of the system yields multiple non-smooth bifurcations, which will also affect the oscillation modes of the generalized autonomous system.

Keywords: Bursting oscillation; multiscale coexistence; multiscale coupling; non-smooth system.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: 857176591@qq.com;

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Multistable coexistence is a unique property of dynamical systems. It has been widely found in various fields of nature and engineering, such as circuit models [1], biological population model [2] and the food chain model [3] etc. When the initial state changes, the multistable coexistence system may be influenced by different attractors, which may appear completely different dynamical behaviors, leading to the dynamical behaviors of system becoming unpredictable the [4]. Therefore, studying the dynamical behaviors and attractive domains of systems with the multistable coexistence is meaningful.

Up to now, the research about multistable systems has focused on the solution of attractors or characterizing the attractive domain [5]. However, in practical engineering, systems often exist multiscale coupling or non-smooth factors [6] etc., leading to the more complex behaviors. Meanwhile, multi-timescale coupling phenomena is common in the field of living organisms [7], physics [8], chemistry [9] etc. In a period of dvnamic behavior, there will be bursting oscillation behaviors that alternate between large oscillation (spiking state) and small oscillation (quiescent state) [10]. Due to a lack of theoretical foundation, early scholars mostly used the approximate solution and numerical simulation [11] methods to investigate the bursting 2000. Rinzel oscillation mechanism. Until proposed the fast and slow analysis method [12], which is not only provided theoretical support for the bursting oscillation mechanism but also given the classification of the bursting oscillation form, after that more scholars began to study it. When there exists an order gap between the exciting frequency and the natural frequency, implying the coupling of two scales in the frequency domain exists, slow-fast behaviors may also appear [13]. Such as Han Xiujing [14] et al found that bursting oscillations of Duffing oscillator can be controlled by forcing frequency and forcing amplitude.

It is worth noting that when the limit cycles coexist with the equilibrium points, twodimensional torus in the vector field, implying the two scale system exhibits both mixed mode oscillations and quasi-periodic oscillations [15]. It is shown that multistable coexistence in the multiscale coupled system may directly affect the fast and slow behavior.

Moreover, when the non-smooth term exists, the discontinuity of the vector field makes the system

controlled by different subsystems. Some dynamic behaviors such as sliding bifurcation on the non-smooth interface may appear, making the switching mechanism between the stable attractors more complicated [16]. Nowadays, most researches are mainly aimed at the systems containing single stable attractor, which mainly show the transition modes of quiescent states and spiking states with single type in Filippov system under two-scale coupling [17]. However, for common dynamical systems, such as chaotic attractors of Lorentz type, stable equilibrium points often coexist with limit cycles and other forms of stable attractors, which undoubtedly leads to more complex mixed oscillation modes.

Here, we introduce a Lorentz-type chaotic system containing multistable coexistence attractors [18]. Based on the Lorentz-type chaotic system, a novel three dimensional non-smooth dynamical model is developed by introducing the non-smooth factor and the periodic exciting term:

$$\dot{x} = ax - yz,$$
  

$$\dot{y} = -by + xz,$$
  

$$\dot{z} = -cz + xyz + d + g(x) + A\sin(\Omega t),$$
 (1)

The novel model is a Filippov system with discontinuous vector fields. Where  $w = A \sin(\Omega t)$ represents the periodic parametric excitation with the excitation amplitude A and frequency  $\Omega$ . The non-smooth term is expressed in the form g(x)=-3sgnx, implying the system can be expressed into two subsystems. Because of the existence of the slowly varying excitation term w, when the exciting frequency  $\Omega$  is far less than the natural frequency of the system, denoted by  $W_N$ , the coupling of two scales in frequency domain exists.Furthermore, the non-smooth boundary, defined as  $\Sigma \equiv \{(x, y, z), x = 0\}$ , divides the phase space into two regions, described by  $D_1 \equiv \{(x, y, z), x > 0\}$  and  $D_2 \equiv \{(x, y, z), x < 0\}$ , in which the trajectory is governed by different respectively. Because subsystem, of the trajectories of non-smooth systems are represented by two different subsystems, more complicated dynamical behaviors can be obtained.With the variation of the parameters, it is not difficult to find that the number of equilibrium points may change. When the system takes the representative parameters, we explore the dynamical behaviors are able to find its corresponding multistable coexistence region and further analyze its dynamical behaviors.

Based on the slow-fast analysis method, the whole exciting term  $w = A\sin(\Omega t)$  can be regarded as a generalized state variable, which forms the slow subsystem, leading to the socalled fast subsystem in generalized autonomous form. Based on the above, we select specific parameters with the excitation frequency at  $\Omega$ =0.01. With the overlap of the transformed phase diagram and the bifurcation diagram, we deeply study the dynamic mechanism of the Filippov system in this paper.

### 2. MIXED-MODE OSCILLATIONS WITH DIFFERENT EXCITATION AMPLITUDES

Two typical situations are examined below.

Case 1: A=3.2

The system presents a quasi-periodic movement on the right side of the interface (x> 0), and the radius of movement varies with the amplitude of the external excitation. As shown in Fig.1, the  $D_2$  region (x< 0) occurs two spiking states, represent by SP<sub>2</sub> and SP<sub>3</sub> respectively. Further observation shows that SP<sub>3</sub> belongs to the quasi-periodic type, its frequency different with SP<sub>2</sub>. The inconsistent frequency indicates that the system trajectory from the spiking state(SP<sub>2</sub>) to a short silence and then tends to the limit cycle quickly. Furthermore, more smooth bifurcations appear with the increase of external excitation amplitude, leading the SP<sub>3</sub> turn to the QS<sub>1</sub>.

Case 2: A=3.9

When the external excitation amplitude increases to A=3.9, the periodic oscillation in the D<sub>1</sub> region (x> 0) disappears and turns into two spiking states, as shown in Fig.2. Among that the SP<sub>2</sub> consistent with case A=3.2, the trajectory tends to a smooth equilibrium point ,described by SP<sub>2</sub>. The two spiking states are connected in a quiescent state QS<sub>2</sub>, indicating that more local bifurcations occur in the system.



Fig. 1. A=3.2 (a)Phase portrait on the (x, z) plane ;(b) Time histories of x



Fig. 2. A=3.9 (a)Phase portrait on the (x, z) plane ;(b) Time histories of x

The trajectory in the  $D_2$  region (x < 0) has also changed. Compared with the case 1 for A=3.2, the number of spiking states decreases. The original oscillations toward the stable equilibrium point disappear, indicating that the trajectory may move to the limit cycle via an additional stable attractor. In order to reveal the mechanism of the dynamics of the full system, now we turn to the attractors and their bifurcations of the fast subsystem with the variation of the slow-varying parameter *w*.

## 3. EQUILIBRIUM BRANCHES AND BIFURCATIONS

To simplify the analysis, here we fix the parameters at a=2, b=7, c=3, and d=8, and we give the equilibrium cycles and bifurcations of the subsystems, as shown in Fig 3. Where, all black solid lines indicate stable equilibrium branches, and black dashed lines indicate unstable equilibrium branches. Furthermore, all pseudo-equilibrium points are marked with red solid and dashed lines. Due to the non-smooth interface, trajectory actually move within a subsystem of x > 0 in D<sub>1</sub> region, likewise, trajectory actually move within a subsystem of x < 0 in D<sub>1</sub> region.

When x>0, the trajectory is governed by the subsystem  $D_1$ , which the corresponding equilibrium points are represented as the EB<sup>-</sup>. Similarly, the equilibrium points of subsystem  $D_2$  can be represented as EB<sup>+</sup>.

For the subsystem in  $D_1$ , the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^+$  evolves to a stable limit cycle LC<sub>1</sub> via Hopf bifurcation at H<sup>+</sup>. Sub-critical pitchfork bifurcation occurs at the point P2 and supercritical pitchfork bifurcation occurs at the point P<sub>4</sub>. For the subsystem in D<sub>2</sub>, similarly, three bifurcation points  $H^{-}$ ,  $BP_{1}^{-}$  and  $BP_{2}^{-}$  can be observed, which correspond to pitchfork bifurcation and Hopf bifurcation respectively. With the decrease of slow-varying parameter w, the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^-$  evolves to another stable limit cycle, denoted by LC<sub>2</sub>, see Fig.3.

Since the equilibrium branches of the two subsystems on the x=0 interface are complex, for better understanding, the range of the equilibrium branches of different subsystems on the interfcae is given in the Table 1.

If we take a certain range, the system will have multiple stable coexisted attractors, in order to show the system in the phase space clearly, we omit all the pseudo-equilibrium points in the (w, z) diagram.From the equilibrium branches as well as the bifurcations on the (w,x) plane and the (w,z) plane plotted in Fig.3,one may find there exist the coexisted attractors, including the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^+$  coexists with the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_6^-$ , the coexistence of equilibrium points and the stable limit cycle corresponding to different intervals of w.



Fig. 3. Equilibrium branches and bifurcations

Table 1. Range of equilibrium branches on x=0 interface of different subsystems

Subsystem	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>1</sub>
Equilibrium	$EB^{-}$	$EB_{-}^{-}$	$EB_{c}^{-}$	$EB_{+}^{+}$	$EB_{-}^{+}$	$EB_{\epsilon}^{+}$
branches	<b>LL</b> <sub>4</sub>	225	<b>LD</b> <sub>6</sub>	<b>L</b> <sub>4</sub>	205	226
Range	w <p1< td=""><td>P₁~P₃</td><td>w&gt;P3</td><td>w<p2< td=""><td>P₂~P₄</td><td>w&gt;P4</td></p2<></td></p1<>	P₁~P₃	w>P3	w <p2< td=""><td>P₂~P₄</td><td>w&gt;P4</td></p2<>	P₂~P₄	w>P4
Stability	stable	unstable	stable	stable	unstable	stable

Summarize the above, two Hopf bifurcations and four pitchfork bifurcations occur in the system with a single slow parametric excitation. In the next section, we explore the dynamical mechanisms of the mixed-mode oscillations.

# 4. ANALYSIS OF THE MIXED-MODE OSCILLATION MECHANISM

We overlap the transformed phase portrait with the bifurcation diagram, from which we can obtain the transition mechanism of the trajectory. Moreover, due to the overlap of the non-smooth interface with the equilibrium branches in the xplane, we introduce the overlap of the transformed phase portrait and equilibrium branches on the (w, x) plane and the (w, z)plane. Combine the two diagrams, we can distinguish the sliding phenomenon at the nonsmooth interface, and the movement along the equilibrium point.

Case 1: A=3.2

When the amplitude A increases to 3.2, as shown in Fig 4. Assuming when the trajectory starts from the minimum value of w. because of the Hopf bifurcation at the point H, it turns to oscillate according to the limit cycle LC<sub>2</sub>, yielding spiking oscillations SP<sub>3</sub>. The trajectory may finally settle down to the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^-$  and turns to move almost strictly along the branch until the pitchfork bifurcation occurs. Then, the trajectory moves along the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_6^-$  until the point M<sub>1</sub>, as shown in Fig5(b). When the trajectory moves to the point  $M_2$ , it enters the subsystem in  $D_2$ region. The trajectory moves along the unstable equilibrium branch  $EB_5^+$  in the (w, z) plane, corresponding to the (w, x) plane it slides along the boundary. When the trajectory oscillations to the point  $M_3$  with the maximum w, affected by the non-smooth sliding bifurcation, it jumps to the equilibrium point. Before the trajectory fully converging to the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^+$ , the Hopf bifurcation occurs at the point H<sup>+</sup>, then the trajectory oscillates according to the stable limit cycle LC<sub>1</sub>, resulting in repetitive spiking oscillations SP<sub>1</sub>.



Fig. 4. A=3.2 Overlap of the transformed phase portrait and equilibrium branches on the (w, x) plane in (a) and on the (w, z) plane in (b)



Fig. 5. A=3.2 Locally enlarged parts of (w, x) in (a) and (w, z) in (b)



Fig. 6. A=3.9 Overlap of the transformed phase portrait and equilibrium branches on the (w, x) plane in (a) and on the (w, z) plane in (b)

As the *w* continues to decrease, the homoclinic bifurcation of the limit cycle appears, leading to the trajectory ends the oscillation along the limit cycle. It turns to move along  $EB_6^-$  until the point P<sub>3</sub>, where the pitchfork bifurcation occurs, leading to the trajectory moves along the  $EB_5^-$ , appearing in quiescent state QS2.Then the non-smooth sliding bifurcation occurs, the trajectory jumps to focal point in the the stable equilibrium  $EB_2^-$ , yielding spiking oscillations  $SP_2$ .With the *w* continues to decrease, the trajectory arrives at the starting point, which finishes one period of the bursting oscillations.

Note that the slow-passage effect affects the movement of the system trajectory when the excitation amplitude increases further, and below we analyze the case of A =3.9.

#### Case 2: A=3.9

As shown in Figure 6, the system shows a completely different fast and slow behavior in the coexistence region of  $EB_2^+$  and  $EB_6^-$ , directly leading to the alternation between the quiescent states and the spiking states of multiple modes. When the trajectory starts from the minimum value of w, as the case 1 for A=3.2, it turns to oscillate according to the limit cycle LC<sub>2</sub> via the Hopf bifurcation at the point H, yielding spiking oscillations SP<sub>3</sub>. Then the trajectory moves along the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^-$  until the pitchfork bifurcation occurs at the point  $BP_2^-$ , it turns to move along the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^-$ . As the parameter w increases to 2.8, the trajectory turns to be governed by the subsystem in D<sub>1</sub> region. Then the trajectory slides along the non-smooth interface in the (w, x) plane, leading to it moves along the unstable equilibrium branch  $EB_5^+$  in the (w, z) plane. With the parameter w reaches the maximum for w=3.9, the trajectory turns to left. With the influence of sliding bifurcation, it exits the non-smooth interface. instead converges to the stable equilibrium point  $EB_2^+$ , representing in the spiking state SP<sub>1</sub>. The trajectory moves along the stable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^+$  until the Hopf bifurcation occurs at the point  $H^{+}$ . Due to the slow passage effect, the trajectory continues to move along the unstable equilibrium branch  $EB_2^-$ , then it oscillates according to the stable limit cycle LC1 with the same frequency of  $LC_1$ , resulting in repetitive spiking oscillations  $SP_2$ . Meanwhile, with the oscillation amplitude increases, the trajectory will contact the non-smooth partition interface, leading to the generation of the crossing sliding bifurcation, as shown in Fig 11. Then the trajectory tends to the boundary, manifesting as a large sharp peak and rapidly crossing, eventually converging to the stable equilibrium  $EB_6^-$ . Other movement processes are repeated with the above situation, so we will not repeat.

From the above analysis, we can find that when the slow variable parameter *w* visits different multistable coexistence regions, leading to more spiking states and quiescent states appear, which can also affect the structure of mixed mode oscillations. Moreover, due to the existence of the non-smooth partition interface, the trajectory will also be affected by multiple non-smooth bifurcations during the alternations between the spiking states and quiescent states, which makes the mechanism of the mixed-mode oscillation more complicated.

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

For a class of multistable coexisting Lorenz chaotic systems, a periodic external excitation and non-smooth term are introduced to establish a novel non-smooth Filippov system. We studied the bursting oscillation mechanism of nonsmooth Filippov systems with two-scale coupling. The system trajectory shows more alternations between quite states and spiking states in multistable coexistence regions, due to the svstem trajectory switches between the subsystems in the boundary. In order to analyze the multistable coexistence reaion and subsystems, here we made the overlap of the transformed phase portrait and equilibrium branches as well as the bifurcations in the (w, x)and (w, z) plane. Based on numerical analysis, trajectory is controlled in turn by the subsystems with sliding movement. We explore the dynamic behaviors of the system on the non-smooth interface, in order to provide a theoretical basis for the study of non-smooth phenomena in practical engineering applications. In addition, the slow passage effect also plays an important role in the bursting oscillations, leading to a new quiescent state trajectory that connecting two spiking states being appear, implying the system trajectory will present more abundant dynamical behaviors. It proves that not only bifurcations can cause the alternations between the spiking states and quiescent states, but also the slow passage effect.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks for the support and help from the members of the Nonlinear Dynamics research group.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Ju Y, Li Y, Tan J, et al. Transition mechanism and dynamic behaviors of a multi-stable piezoelectric energy harvester with magnetic interaction[J]. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2021;501:116074.
- 2. Laurent M, Kellershohn N. Multistability: A major means of differentiation and evolution in biological systems[J]. Trends in biochemical sciences. 1999;24(11):418-422.
- 3. Adhikary PD, Mukherjee S, Ghosh B. Bifurcations and hydra effects in Bazykin s predator–prey model[J]. Theoretical population biology. 2021;140:44-53.
- 4. Chudzik A, Perlikowski P, Stefanski A, et al. Multistability and rare attractors in van

der Pol–Duffing oscillator[J]. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos. 2011;21(07):1907-1912.

- Han X, Bi Q. Generation of hysteresis cycles with two and four jumps in a shape memory oscillator[J]. Nonlinear Dynamics. 2013;72(1):407-415.
- Tong X, Li F, Liu M, et al. Thermal fatigue resistance of non-smooth cast iron treated by laser cladding with different self-fluxing alloys[J]. Optics & Laser Technology. 2010;42(7):1154-1161.
- Wang Y, Rubin J E. Multiple timescale mixed bursting dynamics in a respiratory neuron model[J]. Journal of Computational Neuroscience. 2016;41(3):245-26.
- Ahmed W, Maqsood A, Riaz R. Multiple time scale analysis of pressure oscillations in solid rocket motors[J]. Results in Physics. 2018;8:1068-1075.
- Li X H, Bi Q S. Single-Hopf bursting in periodic perturbed Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction with two time scales[J]. Chinese Physics Letters. 2013;30(1):010.
- Bertram R, Rubin J E. Multi-timescale systems and fast-slow analysis[J]. Mathematical biosciences. 2017;287:105-121.
- 11. Verhulst F. Singular perturbation methods for slow–fast dynamics.Nonlinear Dynamics. 2007;50(4):747-753.
- Rinzel J. Bursting oscillations in an excitable membrane model. In: Sleeman B D, Jarvis R J, eds. Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations. Berlin: Springer. 1985;304–316.
- Bi Q, Li S, Kurths J, et al. The mechanism of bursting oscillations with different codimensional bifurcations and nonlinear structures[J]. Nonlinear Dynamics. 2016;85(2):993-1005.
- 14. Han Xiujing, Qinsheng Bi. Bursting oscillations in Duffing's equation with slowly changing external forcing. Communications in Nonlinear Science Numerical Simulation, 2011:4146and 4152.
- Ceni A, Olmi S, Torcini A, et al. Cross frequency coupling in next generation inhibitory neural mass models[J]. Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science. 2020;30(5):053121.
- di Bernardo M, Kowalczyk P, Nordmark A. Sliding bifurcations: A novel mechanism for the sudden onset of chaos in dry friction oscillators

Chen et al.; PSIJ, 26(1): 35-42, 2022; Article no.PSIJ.85358

[J]. International journal of Bifurcation and chaos, 2003; 13(10):2935-2948.

17. Qu R, Wang Y, Wu G, et al. Bursting oscillations and the mechanism with sliding bifurcations in a Filippov dynamical system[J]. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos. 2018; 28(12):1850146.

 Lai Q, Akgul A, Li C, Xu G, Çavuşoğlu Ü. A new chaotic system with multiple attractors: Dynamic analysis, circuit realization and S-Box design. Entropy. 2017;20(1):12.

© 2022 Chen et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85358