



# Quality Assessment and Sensory Evaluation of Complementary Food from Orange Flesh Sweet Potato (OFSP), Soybean, and Tropical Almond Seed Composite Flour

Mike Ojotu Eke <sup>a,b</sup>, Lorita Nchung <sup>a,c\*</sup>  
and Chi Ngunjoh Emmanuel <sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Food Science and Technology, College of Food Technology and Human Ecology, Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, Nigeria.

<sup>b</sup> Center for Food Technology and Research, Benue State University Makurdi, Nigeria.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, Benue State University Makurdi, Nigeria.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56557/jafsat/2024/v11i48834>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://prh.ikpress.org/review-history/12306>

Original Research Article

Received: 19/06/2024

Accepted: 22/08/2024

Published: 31/08/2024

## ABSTRACT

Childhood malnutrition especially vitamin A deficiency and protein-energy malnutrition are widely prevalent in many parts of the world, particularly in developing countries due to the high cost of commercial infant food. Complementary food from OFSP, Soybean and Tropical Almond Seed

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [loritanchung@gmail.com](mailto:loritanchung@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Eke, Mike Ojotu, Lorita Nchung, and Chi Ngunjoh Emmanuel. 2024. "Quality Assessment and Sensory Evaluation of Complementary Food from Orange Flesh Sweet Potato (OFSP), Soybean, and Tropical Almond Seed Composite Flour". *Journal of Advances in Food Science & Technology* 11 (4):1-11. <https://doi.org/10.56557/jafsat/2024/v11i48834>.

Flour was produced to improve the nutritional content of locally made infant food. The study evaluated the quality and sensory attributes of complementary food. A commercial sample was used as a reference standard. The sample formulations were in the ratios; 100:0:0, 90:5:5, 80:15:5, 70:25:5. The anti-nutrient composition ranged thus: alkaloid (0.122-0.961%), trypsin inhibitor (0.003-0.455%), tannin (0.036-0.321%), oxalate (0.017-0.049%). The total essential and total non-essential amino acid composition respectively ranged thus in the samples; 14.12-59.55 g/100g of protein and 30.21-41.65 g/100g of protein. Sensory evaluation results showed that all the complementary food samples were generally accepted by the panellists. The composite flour herein produced significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased the nutritional, amino acid, and sensory attributes of the complementary food in contrast to the control sample.

*Keywords: Antinutrients; composite flour; sensory evaluation; malnutrition; amino acid profile.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Eating a diet with insufficient or excessive nutrients can result in malnutrition and other health problems. Malnutrition in all its manifestations, including undernutrition (stunting and underweight), micronutrient deficiencies, as well as overweight and obesity, constitutes a triple burden of disease, particularly for low- and middle-income countries. It is one of the main factors contributing to ill health and a significant barrier to the global realization of human potential and personal growth” [1,2].

Complementary feeding (CF) begins when an infant's nutritional requirements surpass the adequacy of breast milk alone, necessitating the introduction of complementary meals and liquids alongside breastfeeding [3]. Complementary foods (CF) facilitate the transition from milk feeding to family foods, addressing nutritional and developmental needs. Newborns endure rapid growth and development throughout the Complementary feeding period, which signifies noticeable dietary changes due to exposure to novel foods, tastes, and eating experiences. During this time, infants are particularly vulnerable to nutritional deficiency [4].

Initiating the gradual introduction of soft, semisolid, and solid foods is implemented as a complementary feeding strategy for infants starting at the age of six months. Additionally, starting supplemental feeding practices on schedule and breastfeeding infants is important [5]. According to Obasi et al. [6], complementary foods are combinations of various nutrient qualities high in protein, fats, carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals derived from grains, fruits, milk, and different food origins. Food rich in amino acids facilitates the growth and development of infants.

“The basic building blocks of life are amino acids. They play a well-known role in protein synthesis, but they also participate in a variety of other intracellular metabolic pathways that promote cellular and organismal function, such as ATP synthesis, nucleotide synthesis, and redox balancing. Immune cells are crucially dependent on such pathways to acquire energy and biomass and to rewire their metabolism after activation to sustain growth, proliferation, and effector functions. In addition to supporting enhanced protein synthesis in immune cells, amino acid metabolism is important for this metabolic rewiring” [7].

Anti-nutritional factors are those substances or chemical compounds that are harmful to humans and reduce the body's ability to absorb nutrients. They can be found in fruits and other foods in general. Depending on the type of food, how it is produced, the chemicals used in crop growth as well as those employed in food storage and preservation, anti-nutritional agents are present in various food items in diverse degrees.

Sensory evaluation is a science that measures, analyses and interprets the reaction of the senses of sight, smell, sound, taste, and texture to a product [8]. “Food fortification is effective when it is acceptable to the consumer and complies with the use thereof, and consumer compliance and acceptability should precede micronutrient fortification projects. Sensory evaluation is one of the methods used for consumer compliance and acceptability for evaluating product quality, describing the sensory properties of the product (i.e., descriptive evaluation), and determining its acceptability by consumers” [9].

To formulate a cheap and affordable complementary food and also make this food functional, OFSP, soybean, and almond seed

flour will be used. Hence, this research seeks to use OFSP, Soybean, and Tropical Almond Seed Flour Composite to produce and evaluate nutrient-dense complementary foods' nutritional and sensory attributes. This complementary food will remedy for malnutrition and hunger.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Preparation of OFSP flour:** Orange-fleshed sweet potato flour was produced by following the steps outlined by Kudadam et al. (2021). The OFSP roots were manually sorted and peeled with a stainless-steel knife into uniform slices 3 mm thick. Slices were blanched in hot water at 80 °C for 3 minutes to inactivate the action of the microorganisms. The chips were removed from the water, drained, and dehydrated in a dehydrator (model: ST-02) at 70 °C for 48 hours. The chips were ground in a roller mill (model: DE-200g) and sieved to pass through a 0.5 millimeters mesh size. The flour was packaged in plastic containers.

**Soybean flour production:** The soybean flour was prepared using the modified method of Shiriki et al. (2015). The soybean was destoned and winnowed, soaked in clean tap water for 12 hours and washed by rubbing between the palms to remove the testa and endosperm. The soybean was washed again several times with more water until most of the testa was washed out. It was then boiled for 15 minutes in water, sun-dried for 48 hours and then dried in a hot air oven at 70 °C for 30 minutes. The dried grains were ground in a roller mill (model: DE-200g) and sieved to pass through a 0.5 millimeters mesh size. The flour was packaged in polypropylene plastic containers for future use.

**Tropical almond flour production:** The method described by Akpakpan and Akpabio., (2012) was used to produce Almond flour. Almond fruits were sun-dried for 7 days and cracked open to remove the seeds. The seeds were oven-dried at 60 °C for 24 hours and ground into powder

using a roller mill (model: DE-200g). The flour was sieved using a 0.5 millimeters mesh sieve. The flour was packed in polypropylene plastic containers.

**Amino acid analysis of the complementary food:** Amino acids composition was quantified after hydrolysis using model 120A PTH amino acid analyzer (HPLC) in the reversed-phase column, after derivatization with 9-fluorentylmethyl chloroformate and a fluorescence detector [10]. The sample was dried at 70 °C to constant weight, defatted, hydrolyzed, evaporated in a rotary evaporator, and loaded into the biosystem PTH Amino Acid Analyzer. The concentration of the individual amino acids was calculated about the protein content.

**Determination of selected antinutrients / phytochemical composition of the complementary food:**

### i. Determination of phytate content:

Phytate content was determined using the spectrophotometric method described by [11]. Two grams (2 g) of the sample were weighed into a five-hundred-millilitre (500 mL) flat-bottom flask. The flask with the sample was laced in a shaker and extracted with one hundred millilitres (100 mL) of 24 per cent HCL for one hour at 25 °C. The aliquot was decanted and filtered. Five millilitres (5 mL) of 0.1 M sodium chloride were added to ten millilitres (10 mL) of the diluted sample and passed through a What man no. 1 filter paper to elute in organic phosphorus, and fifteen millilitres (15 mL) of 0.7 sodium chloride was also added to elute the phytate, which was mixed on a vortex mixer for 5 s. The mixture was centrifuged for 10 min, and the supernatant was read at a 520 nm wavelength in a UV spectrophotometer. The phytate concentration was read off from the standard curve prepared with standard inositol phytate, and the value was expressed in mg/100g using the following formula:

**Table 1. Formulation of the complementary food samples**

Sample	OFSP (%)	Soybean (%)	Almond (%)
ComF1	100	0	0
ComF2	90	5	5
ComF3	80	15	5
ComF4	70	25	5
ComF5	Reference sample		

Phytate (mg/100g) =

$$\frac{\text{conc.Of phytate from standard curve} \times \text{dilution factor}}{\text{Weight of samples}} \quad (1)$$

#### ii. Determination of oxalate content

Oxalate was determined by AOAC method [10]. 1 g of the sample was weighed into a 100 millilitres conical flask. 75 ml of 3 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added and the solution was carefully stirred intermittently with a magnetic stirrer for about 1 hour and then filtered using what man No.1 filter paper. The sample filtrate (25 mL) was collected and titrated against hot [80 - 90 °C] 0.1 N KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution to the point when a faint pink colour appeared that persisted for at least 30 sec. The oxalate concentration in each sample was obtained from the calculation: 1 ml 0.1 permanganate = 0.006303 g oxalate.

#### iii. Determination of trypsin inhibition activity (TIA)

The trypsin inhibition activity was assayed in terms of the extent to which an extract of the sample inhibited the action of bovine trypsin on the substrate benzoyl-DL-arginine-p-nitrianiilide (BAPNA) hydrochloric. The sample (1 g) was extracted with 50 mL of 10 mM NaOH, and continuously shaken using a mechanical shaker at pH 9.4 (1 M NaOH) and ambient temperature for 3 h. After extraction, the suspension was shaken and diluted with distilled water such that 1 cm<sup>3</sup> of the extract produced trypsin inhibition of 40 – 60 percent at 37 °C. The respective dilutions were noted. Consequently, TIA was calculated in terms of mg pure trypsin TIA = 2.632DA mg pure trypsin inhibited g<sup>-1</sup> sample S, Where D is the dilution factor, A is the change in absorbance at 410 nm due to trypsin inhibition per cm<sup>3</sup> diluted sample extract, and S is the weight of the sample [11].

#### iv. Determination of tannin content

Tannin content was determined by the modified vanillin-HCl methods. The sample (5 g) was extracted with 50 mL 99.9percent methanol for 20 min at room temperature with constant agitation. After centrifugation for 10 min at 653 x g, 5 mL of vanillin-HCl [2percent vanilli and 1percent HCl] reagent was added to 1 mL aliquots, and the color developed after 20 min at room temperature was read at 500 nm. Correction for interference light natural pigments in the sample was achieved by subjecting the

extract to the conditions of the reaction but without vanillin reagent [11]. A standard curve was prepared using cate-chin [Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO] after correcting for blank, and tannin concentration was expressed in g/100 g. Tannin content was calculated as Tannin (g/100 g) = concentration of standard xAbsorbance of the sample.

#### v. Determination of alkaloids

5g of the sample was weighed into a 250ml beaker and 200ml of 20% acetic acid in ethanol was covered and allowed to stand for 4 hours at 250°C. It was then filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated using a water bath (members) to one-quarter of the original volume. Concentrated ammonium hydroxide was added dropwise to the extract until the precipitation was complete. The whole solution was allowed to settle and the precipitate was collected and washed with dilute NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution. It was then filtered using a pre-weighed filter paper [12]. The residue on the filter paper is the alkaloid and was dried in the precision oven at 800°C. The alkaloid content was calculated and expressed as a percentage of the weight of the sample analyzed, thus:

% Weight of alkaloid =

$$\frac{\text{Weight of filter paper with residue wt of filter paper}}{\text{Weight of sample analyzed}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

#### Sensory evaluation of gruels produced from the complementary food:

The five different samples of complementary diets were evaluated for sensory characteristics and overall acceptability by panelists of 15 judges consisting of mothers living around the CEFTER hostel using a 9-point hedonic scale preference test. The hedonic was used to compare commercially formulated food and traditionally formulated food from Orange Fleshed Sweet Potatoes, soybeans, and Tropical Almond to know which complementary diet was preferable in appearance, mouth feel, consistency or viscosity, aroma, taste, and general acceptability. The quantities evaluated were rated on a scale ranging from one to nine (1 to 9) [13].

**Statistical analysis:** Statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) computer software. All experiments were conducted in triplicates and reported as mean ± Standard deviation. Analysis of variance (One-way ANOVA) was used to

ascertain any significant difference in the treatments at a 95 percent ( $p < 0.05$ ) significant level. The Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) separated the means.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Amino acid profile of the complementary food:** There are nine essential amino acids: histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, tryptophan, and valine. The composition of essential amino acids in the formulated samples is presented in Table 2. The total essential amino acid content ranged from 14.12 g/100g in ComF1 to 59.55 g/100g in ComF4. There was a significant increase in the

essential amino acid content of the samples as soybean flour substitution increased.

**Non-essential Amino Acid Composition of the Complementary Food Samples:** The non-essential amino acids analyzed in the samples were: alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, cysteine, glutamic acid, glycine, proline, serine, and tyrosine and the results are presented in Table 3.

The total non-essential amino acid content ranged from 30.21 g/100g in ComF1 to 41.64 g/100g in ComF4. There was a significant increase as soybean flour substitution in the samples increased.

**Table 2. Essential Amino Acid Composition (g/100g of protein) of the Complementary Food Samples**

Amino acid	ComF1	ComF2	ComF3	ComF4	ComF5	LSD
Leucine	3.21 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01	6.52 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02	6.83 <sup>c</sup> ±0.00	7.31 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	9.02 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.00
Lysine	1.07 <sup>e</sup> ±0.07	2.30 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	2.72 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	3.21 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	2.23 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02	0.04
Isoleucine	1.30 <sup>e</sup> ±0.06	3.44 <sup>c</sup> ±0.06	3.85 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	4.15 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07	3.20 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02	0.08
Phenylalanine	1.77 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01	3.18 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03	3.43 <sup>c</sup> ±0.15	3.82 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	4.13 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.10
Tryptophan	0.12 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	0.54 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.65 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.77 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.66 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	0.00
Valine	3.88 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06	3.63 <sup>c</sup> ±0.03	3.59 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.01	3.56 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02	3.71 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.04
Methionine	0.44 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	1.12 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02	1.21 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	1.25 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	1.14 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02	0.00
Histidine	1.00 <sup>d</sup> ±0.12	1.77 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	1.99 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	2.33 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	2.27 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.08
Threonine	1.33 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01	2.54 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	2.82 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	3.15 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	3.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	0.00
TEAA	14.12	25.04	27.09	59.55	28.36	

Key: ComF1- 100% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour: ComF2- 90% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 5% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour: ComF3- 80% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 15% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour, ComF4- 70% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 25% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour, ComF5- Reference sample, LSD- Least Significant Difference, TEAA- Total Essential Amino Acids. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$

**Table 3. Non-essential Amino Acid Composition of the Complementary Food Samples**

Amino acid	ComF1	ComF2	ComF3	ComF4	ComF5	LSD
Proline	2.20 <sup>e</sup> ±0.02	3.26 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02	3.40 <sup>c</sup> ±0.03	3.67 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	5.11 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.00
Arginine	2.48 <sup>d</sup> ±0.11	4.47 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	5.15 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06	5.63 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	4.37 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.08
Tyrosine	3.33 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	3.10 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	3.06 <sup>b</sup> ±0.17	3.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.09	3.05 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	0.13
Cysteine	0.93 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.86 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02	0.84 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	0.81 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01	1.29 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.00
Alanine	10.31 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	8.51 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	6.43 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	4.16 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03	4.03 <sup>e</sup> ±0.04	0.04
Glutamate	5.02 <sup>e</sup> ±0.07	9.66 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03	10.10 <sup>c</sup> ±0.12	11.23 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	10.43 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.09
Glycine	1.14 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03	2.25 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	2.33 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	2.36 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	2.58 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	0.00
Serine	1.24 <sup>e</sup> ±0.02	3.19 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02	3.36 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	3.62 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	3.84 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.00
Aspartate	3.56 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03	6.05 <sup>c</sup> ±0.06	6.63 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	7.17 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	6.65 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	0.04
TNEAA	30.21	41.35	41.3	41.65	41.35	

Key: ComF1- 100% Orange Fleshed sweet potato flour: ComF2- 90% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 5% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour: ComF3- 80% Orange Fleshed sweet potato flour, 15% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour, ComF4- 70% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 25% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour, ComF5- Reference sample, LSD- Least Significant Difference, TNEAA- Total Non-Essential Amino Acids. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicate determinations. This means that the same row with different superscripts is significantly different

**Table 4. Anti-nutrient Composition (%) of the Complementary Food Samples**

Sample	Alkaloid	Trypsin inhibitor	Tannin	Oxalate
ComF1	0.122 <sup>d</sup> ±0.011	0.003 <sup>c</sup> ±0.002	0.036 <sup>b</sup> ±0.002	0.017 <sup>d</sup> ±0.004
ComF2	0.411 <sup>c</sup> ±0.057	0.036 <sup>c</sup> ±0.001	0.045 <sup>b</sup> ±0.002	0.023 <sup>c</sup> ±0.000
ComF3	0.642 <sup>b</sup> ±0.037	0.394 <sup>b</sup> ±0.002	0.066 <sup>b</sup> ±0.002	0.029 <sup>b</sup> ±0.001
ComF4	0.961 <sup>a</sup> ±0.025	0.455 <sup>a</sup> ±0.045	0.321 <sup>a</sup> ±0.022	0.049 <sup>a</sup> ±0.003
ComF5	0.387 <sup>c</sup> ±0.014	0.399 <sup>b</sup> ±0.010	0.308 <sup>a</sup> ±0.033	0.011 <sup>e</sup> ±0.000
LSD	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01

Key: ComF1- 100% Orange Fleshed sweet potato flour: ComF2- 90% Orange Fleshed sweet potato flour, 5% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour: ComF3- 80% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 15% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour, ComF4- 70% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 25% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour, ComF5- Reference sample, LSD- Least Significant Difference. Values represent mean ± SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different at  $p<0.05$

**Table 5. Sensory Attributes of Gruels from Complementary Food Samples**

Sample	Appearance	Aroma	Taste	Consistency	Overall acceptability
ComF1	8.25 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.79	7.55 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.00	7.65 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.81	7.50 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.89	7.60 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.68
ComF2	7.75 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.79	7.75 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.72	7.80 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.95	7.70 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.98	7.80 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.62
ComF3	7.60 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.88	7.55 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.54	7.75 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.16	7.95 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.94	7.95 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.94
ComF4	7.75 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.79	7.50 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.54	7.55 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.43	7.90 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.91	7.65 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.39
ComF5	7.55 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.00	8.10 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.72	8.15 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.75	8.10 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.79	8.05 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.76
LSD	1.07	1.46	1.32	1.13	1.15

Key: ComF1- 100% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour: ComF2- 90% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 5% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour: ComF3- 80% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 15% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour, ComF4- 70% Orange Fleshed sweet potatoes flour, 25% Soybean flour, 5% Almond seed flour, ComF5- Reference sample, LSD- Least Significant Difference. Values represent mean ± SD of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different at  $p<0.05$

**Anti-nutrient composition of the complementary food:** The antinutrients analysed in the samples were alkaloids, phytates, tannins, and trypsin inhibitors. Table 4 shows the antinutrient composition of composite flour. The alkaloid content of the complementary food samples ComF1 to ComF5 varied from 0.122% to 0.387%, the trypsin inhibitor content of the sample varied from 0.003% to 0.399%, the tannin content ranged from 0.036% to 0.308%, the oxalate content varied from 0.0017% to 0.011%. There was a significant increase ( $p<0.05$ ) in the anti-nutrient content of the samples as substitution with soybean flour increased.

**Sensory attributes of gruels from the complementary food:** Table 5 represents the sensory attributes of gruels from the complementary food samples such as appearance, aroma, taste, consistency, and overall acceptability.

#### 4. DISCUSSIONS

There was a significant increase ( $p<0.05$ ) in the total essential amino acid content of the samples

as the level of substitution with soybean increased. The significant increase in the total essential amino acid between ComF1 and the rest of the formulated sample is also attributed to the substitution of the samples with protein-rich almond seed flour. These results are in line with that reported by Mariam [14] who reported a high amino acid content in soybean based complementary foods. Leucine (3.21 to 9.02 g/100g), isoleucine (1.30 to 4.15 g/100g), and phenylalanine (1.7 to 4.13 g/100g) were the most abundant essential amino acids in the formulated samples as the level of substitution of soybean increased. These results were expected as soybean is rich in these essential amino acids [15]. Tryptophan (0.12 g/100g) and Methionine (0.44 to 1.25 g/100g) were the least essential amino acids present in the formulated sample and were significantly low ( $p<0.05$ ) in ComF1 (control) compared to the other formulated samples and the reference sample (ComF5). The results are slightly lower than the 1.71 to 1.90 g/100g of methionine reported in cookies formulated from orange-fleshed sweet potatoes, mushrooms and sorghum by Akinbode et al. [16].

There was a significant increase ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the non-essential amino acid content as substitution with soybean increased except for tyrosine and alanine, which were significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) in ComF1 (control sample) compared to the other formulated samples and the reference sample. This is in line with results from literature, which showed that orange-fleshed sweet potatoes are rich in these amino acids (Pereira et al., 2019). The significant increase ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the non-essential amino acid content of the formulated sample as substitution of soybean increased agrees with results of [14] who reported similar results in diets containing soybean. Glutamic acid was observed to be the most abundant non-essential amino acid (5.02 to 11.23 g/100g) present in all the samples and is useful to maintain the acid/alkaline balance in the body after conversion to glucose or sugar [16]. The higher amount of acidic amino acids (glutamic acid and aspartic acid) in the samples might have positive contributory effects on the sensory attributes of the formulated samples [17].

**Anti-nutrient composition of the complementary food:** The alkaloid contents of the flour blends ranged from 0.122% to 0.387% as the level of incorporation of SBF increased. ComF1 had the lowest phytate contents in the flour samples, while ComF5 had the highest concentration. As observed, there was a significant increase ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the phytate contents between ComF1 and ComF5. This could be because soybean and tropical almonds also contain some significant amount of alkaloids, as reported by Oduro et al. [18]. Alkaloids belong to a class of naturally occurring organic compounds that mostly contain basic nitrogen atoms. These compounds include related compounds with neutral and even weakly acidic properties. Some synthetic compounds of similar structure also belong to the class of alkaloids. Alkaloid is seen as by-products of plant metabolism, and they also act as protein reservoirs [19]. They generally possess a high level of bitterness and thus become a universal feeding deterrent in plant-herbivores interactions (EeWei, 2011). The results herein obtained are significantly lower than those reported by Bukuni et al. [19].

“The trypsin inhibitor contents of the flour blend samples ranged from 0.036mg/g to 0.455mg/g. Increasing levels of SBF incorporation didn't significantly affect the trypsin inhibitors in the flour blend samples. There was a significant

increase in the trypsin inhibitor content between ComF1 and ComF4 to ComF5. This could be since soybean is known, just like many legumes to contain high concentrations of trypsin inhibitors” [20]. “The presence of protease inhibitors in the diet had been reported to form an irreversible trypsin enzyme-trypsin inhibitor complex, causing a trypsin drop in the intestine and a decrease in the diet protein digestibility, leading to slower growth. In this condition, the organism increases the secretory activity of the pancreas, which could cause pancreatic hypertrophy and hyperplasia” (Wilhelmi, 1955). The results of this study follow the same trend as those reported by Bukuni et al. [19] and [21] but are lower comparatively.

The tannin content of the samples was generally low, and the results ranged from 0.036% to 0.308%. The least tannin content was observed in the internal (ComF1) control sample, which was significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) from the other sample. Tannins are considered nutritionally undesirable because they precipitate proteins, inhibit digestive enzymes and affect the utilization of vitamins and minerals. Ingesting large amounts of tannins may result in adverse health effects, such as impaired microbial enzyme activity and the formation of irreversible and reversible complexes with these enzymes [22].

The content of oxalate in the flour blend samples ranged from 0.017% - 0.011%. There was a significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) between ComF5 (external control) and the other flour blend samples. Bukuni et al. [19] reported an oxalate content of 0.11 mg/100g of complementary food produced from a composite flour of yellow maize, Bambara groundnuts and mango flesh, and from this result, it can be concluded that processing reduced the oxalate content of the samples. These results show that the oxalate content in the flour blend samples was generally low which agrees with the 0.049 mg/100g of oxalate reported in flour blend samples [17].

The mean scores for the appearance of the gruel samples ranged from 8.25% in ComF1 to 7.55% in ComF5 (reference sample). There was a significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the appearance of the gruel samples. The preference scores of tastes ranged between 7.55 and 8.15. The 100% reference sample complementary food (ComF5) was most preferred (with a mean score of 8.15); The mean scores for aroma ranged from 7.50 (ComF4) to 8.10 (ComF5). The mean scores for consistency ranged from 7.50 (ComF1) to 8.10

(ComF5). ComF5 gruel was the most preferred in terms of consistency. The overall acceptability mean score recorded by the gruel samples ranged between 7.06 and 8.05, with ComF1 recording the lowest mean of 7.06, which indicates 'like moderately' on the hedonic scale. There was however no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in terms of overall acceptability between the composite gruel and the control gruel (ComF1). However, ComF1 (the control sample) had the highest mean score (8.05), while ComF1 had the least mean score of 7.06.

The highest value (8.25) for appearance was observed in ComF1, which indicates "like very much" on the hedonic scale, which was significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) from all the other samples except for ComF2. Beta carotenoids in OFSP have a significant role in colour (An orange colour, which is very attractive) [23]. The lowest value (7.55) for appearance was observed in ComF5, the control sample. Orange fleshed sweet potatoes contain beta carotenoids and antioxidants that influence the overall colour of the samples. Color is known as the only quality on which consumers can base their purchasing decisions [23].

These scores indicate a taste preference 'like moderately'. ComF4 was the least preferred with a mean score of 7.55 indicating 'like moderately'. The low taste score for ComF4 and generally for the composite of OFSP, Soybean, and Tropical Almond could be attributed to the residual soybean flour which contains alkaloids [21]. The lower preference scores for the composite gruel could be due to the inclusion of tropical almond which gave it a nutty taste (Akpakpan and Akpabio, 2012b) There was, however, no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) between the control and the composite gruels. This means that the composite gruel compared favourably with the control sample. This could be due to the great aromatic flavour contributed by soybean flour as well as the tropical almond flour (owing to the roasting of soybean flour giving a highly flavoured product) [13]. The Panelists accepted all the composite gruel since their mean score indicated 'like moderately' on the hedonic scale. Aroma is the main decisive factor that makes a product to be liked or disliked [24].

ComF5 gruel was the most preferred in terms of consistency. There was, however, no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) between the control and the composite gruels. This means that the composite gruel compared favorably with the

control sample. This could be due to the increase in proteins substitution SBF contributed as well as the tropical almond flour (owing to the roasting of soybean flour giving a good textured product) and agrees with Okoronkwo et al. [1]. The low consistency values for sample ComF1 could result from the high percentage of OFSP in the blends, which resulted in high viscosity. The pseudo-plastic nature of OFSP feels sticky in the mouth after eating Okoronkwo et al. [1]. The results obtained in this study are higher than the values (5.10 to 6.68) reported by Okoronkwo et al. [1] for orange-fleshed sweet potato–sorghum–soy flour complementary food. but disagrees with the study reported by Bukuni et al. [19] whose consistency reduced from 6.90 - 6.20. The Panelists accepted all the composite gruel since their mean score indicated 'like moderately' on the hedonic scale [25,26].

ComF1 (the control sample) had the highest mean score (8.05) while ComF1 had the least mean score of 7.06. This could be attributed to similar characteristics of the composite gruel in terms of color, consistency, taste and aroma to the control (100% reference sample). The comparatively lower ratings for the composite gruel samples with respect to ComF1 (control sample) could be due to the slight color difference (orange) and the taste. The composite gruel samples ComF2 and ComF3 compared more favorably with the control sample in terms of overall acceptability. The overall acceptability shows how much or less a product is globally accepted. Acceptability may not always depend solely on the sensory attributes of the product but also on other determinants such as physiological, behavioral and cognitive factors, related to the consumer (Laryea et al., 2017).

## 5. CONCLUSION

Production of complementary food from OFSP, Soybean, and Tropical Almond Seed Flour composite flour could provide all essential and non-essential amino acids. Leucine (3.21 to 9.02 g/100g), isoleucine (1.30 to 4.15 g/100g), and phenylalanine (1.7 to 4.13) were the most abundant essential amino acids in the formulated samples as the level of substitution of soybean increased. Amino acids are the building blocks of proteins necessary for growth and development. Antinutrient analysis highlighted lowered concentrations due to processing methods, enhancing the bioavailability of essential nutrients in complementary foods.



From the study, ComF3 was the most preferred. Sensory attributes indicated general acceptability of the formulated samples, yet overall scores fell below those of the reference sample (ComF5), signaling potential areas for improvement in sensory attributes to match or exceed consumer expectations. Complementary foods were successfully produced from OFSP, Soybean, and Tropical Almond Seed using ComF3 (80% OFSP, 15% soybean, 5% almond seed).

### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts.

### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.


### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

1. Okoronkwo NC, Okoyeuzu CF, Eze CR, Mbaeyi-nwaoha IE, Agbata CP. Quality evaluation of complementary food produced by solid-state fermentation of fonio, soybean and orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato Blends; 2023.
2. Amoroso L. The second international conference on nutrition: Implications for Hidden Hunger. 2016;142–152.
3. Abolaji BF, Edeke EJ, Ajoke SM. Evaluation of chemical, functional and sensory properties of flour blends from sorghum, African Yam Bean and Soybean for Use as Complementary Feeding. 2019;4(3):74–81. Available:https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijfsb.20190403.13
4. Fewtrell M, Bronsky J, Campoy C, Domello M, Embleton N. Complementary feeding: A position paper by the European society for paediatric gastroenterology, hepatology, and nutrition (ESPGHAN) committee on nutrition. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. 2017;64(1):119–132. Available:https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000001454
5. Dagne, Hailu A, Anteneh Temegen K, Badi MB, Adhanu HH, Ahunie MA, Tebeje HD, Aynalem GL. Appropriate complementary feeding practice and associated factors among mothers having children aged 6-24 months in Debre Tabor Hospital, North West Ethiopia, 2016. BMC Research Notes. 2019;12(1):1–6. Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-019-4259-3
6. Obasi1 NE\*, Okakpu Ukah1 OG JC. Formulation and evaluation of complementary foods from flour blends of sprouted paddy rice (*Oryza sativa*), Sprouted African Yam Bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa*) and pawpaw fruit (*Carica papaya*) Advances in Research. Journal of Food Science. 2018;15(5):2348–0394.
7. Kelly B, Pearce EL. Amino acids: How amino acids support immunity. Cell Metabolism. 2020;32(2):154–175. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.06.010
8. Darko S. Compliance and consumer acceptability of multiple fortified stock powder, Journal of Applied Science. 2010;10,16(1):1732-1739.
9. Aburime LC. Effect of different processing methods on the chemical composition of African Yam Bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa*) flours and organoleptic characteristics of their gruels, in Home Science. Nutrition and Dietetics. 2012;1(1):1–45.
10. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. In AOAC (18th ed.); 2015
11. Ijarotimi OS. Nutritional quality, functional property and acceptability of maize (*Zea mays*) based complementary foods enriched with defatted groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) and ginger (*Zinger officinale* Roscoe) powder in Wistar rats. Food Production, Processing and Nutrition. 2022;13(4):1–18. Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s43014-022-00091-3
12. Rocedure IIP, Nalysis FORA. Quantitative evaluation of anti-nutritional factors in mango (*Magnifera indica*) Fruit. International Journal of Applied Science and Mathgematics. 2015;2(5):142–145.
13. Ezeokeke CT, Onuoha AB. Nutrient composition of cereal (Maize), legume

- (Soybean) and fruit (Banana) as a complementary food for older infants and their sensory assessment. 2016;6:139–148.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5828/2016.01.004>
14. Mariam S. Nutritive value of three potential complementary foods based on cereals and legumes. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 2005;5(9):01–14.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.18697/ajfand.9.1690>
  15. Kovalenko IV, Rippke GR, Hurburgh CR. Determination of amino acid composition of soybeans (*Glycine max*) by near-infrared spectroscopy. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2006;54(10):3485–3491.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1021/jf052570u>
  16. Akinbode BA, Malomo SA, Asasile II. *In vitro* antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and *In vivo* anti-hyperglycemia potentials of cookies made from sorghum, orange-flesh-sweet-potato and mushroom protein isolate flour blends fed to Wistar rats. Food Chemistry Advances, 2(August 2022). 2023;100263.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focha.2023.100263>
  17. Uzoaga LN, Mazi EA, Kanu AN. Evaluation of nutritional and anti nutrition factors of orange-fleshed sweet potato , yellow root cassava and plantain flour blends fortified with *Moringa oleifera* Leaves. 2020;12(2):7–19.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/AJAAR/2020/v12i230075>
  18. Oduro I, Larbie C, Amoako TNE. Proximate composition and basic phytochemical assessment of two common varieties of *Terminalia catappa* (Indian Almond). 2009;29(2):1–6.
  19. Bukuni SJ, Ikya JK, Ahure D, Bongjo NB. Chemical and functional properties of composite flours made from fermented yellow maize , bambara groundnut , and mango fruit for ‘ Ogi ’ production. Asian Food Science Journal. 2022;21(2):22–33.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/AFSJ/2022/v21i230405>
  20. Gaydhane MK, Mahanta U, Sharma CS, Khandelwal M, Ramakrishna S. Cultured meat: State of the art and future. Biomanufacturing Reviews. 2018;3(1):1–10.  
Available:<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s40898-018-0005-1>
  21. Medic J, Atkinson C. Current knowledge in soybean composition. 2014;91(1).  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-013-2407-9>
  22. Ram S, Narwal S, Om Prakash Gupta VP, Singh GP. Anti-nutritional factors and bioavailability: approaches, challenges, and opportunities. Food Science, Technology and Nutrition. 2020;101–128.  
Available:<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818444-8.00004-3>
  23. Mitra S. Nutritional status of orange-fleshed sweet potatoes in alleviating vitamin a malnutrition through a food-based approach nutrition and food nutritional status of orange-fleshed sweet potatoes in alleviating vitamin a malnutrition through a food-based approach. Journal of Nutrition and Food Science. 2016;2(8):6–9.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9600.1000160>
  24. Abu-Salem FM, Abou-Arab AA. Effect of supplementation of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean* L.) flour on the quality of biscuits. African Journal of Food Science. 2011;5(7):376–383.
  25. Adepoju LA, Osunde ZD. Effect of pretreatments and drying methods on some qualities of dried mango (*Mangifera indica*) fruit. Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal. 2017;19(1):871–194.
  26. Wu G, Wu Z, Dai Z, Yang Y, Wang W, Liu C, Wang B, Wang J, Yin Y. Dietary requirements of “nutritionally non-essential amino acids” by animals and humans. Amino Acids. 2013;44(4):1107–1113.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-012-1444-2>



### Appendix 1. Pictorial representation of the complementary food samples

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://prh.ikpress.org/review-history/12306>