

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

12(11): 3462-3470, 2022; Article no.IJECC.93229 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Who is Responsible for Climate Change: Celestial Phenomena or Human Activity?

Carlos Augusto Ramos e Silva a,b,c

^aPostgraduate Program in Oceans and Earth Dynamics, Geosciences Institute, Federal Fluminense University, Niterói/RJ, Brazil. ^bMarine Biology Department, Biology Institute, Federal Fluminense University, Niterói/RJ, Brazil. ^cCenter of Studies on Water, Biomass, and Oil—NAB, Federal Fluminense University, Niterói/RJ, Brazil.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i111395

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93229

Opinion Article

Received 20 August 2022 Accepted 28 October 2022 Published 31 October 2022

ABSTRACT

One of the great contemporary concerns of humanity is the analysis of climate change, that is, of the processes that alter the structure and functioning of the planet as a system and whose causes are inherently related to human activities. The direct relationship between climate change and carbon cycling in ecosystems is increasingly debated. Arrhenius in 1896 may have "planted the seed" of "global warming" when he launched the theory of the "greenhouse" in the planet's atmosphere by $CO₂$ [1]. Several premises are assumed as evidence of global warming, such as: records in the ice core and records of the concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere in the $19th$ and 20th centuries. It has never been experimentally demonstrated that records in ice cores are reliable in representing the original atmospheric composition. And the objections in the records of [CO₂] in the atmosphere by renowned scientists have never been considered by climatologists.

Keywords: CO² concentrations; global warming; records; ancient atmosphere; ice core assumptions; carbonate reaction; cosmic rays.

1. ASSUMPTIONS FOR THE USE OF ICE AS A HISTORICAL WITNESS OF CO² IN THE ATMOSPHERE

Glaciology assumes that air inclusions in ice retain their original chemical properties and their isotopic compositions preserved. In addition, other conditions are assumed for records in ice cores: 1- There is no liquid phase in ice at a temperature of $-24^{\circ}C$ [2,3]. 2- The composition of the original atmospheric air is preserved indefinitely [4]. 3- Air trapping in ice is a mechanical process, where there is no distinction between the gas components. 4- The age of the gases in the air bubbles is much younger than the age of the ice where they were trapped (the age difference is in the range of several tens to thousands of years). These inclusions are used to reconstruct $CO₂$ concentrations from the preindustrial and ancient atmosphere [5].

These assumptions conflict with evidence from numerous previous studies involving $CO₂$ in the atmosphere. Ice cores prior to 1985 showed $CO₂$ concentrations much higher than today. More recent research has shown that there is no perceptible relationship between $[CO₂]$ and temperature [6-14]. Based on this scientific evidence, it is necessary to verify if the reconstructions of the chemical composition of the ancient atmosphere from ice cores are reliable.

It has never been experimentally demonstrated that records in ice cores are reliable in representing the original atmospheric composition. Research has shown that during the Holocene (10,000 years ago) $[CO₂]$ fluctuated between 300 and 348 ppm [15-17]. These results contrast with those of Barry et al. [18], Dore et al. [19], Feely et al. [20], Blackford & Gilbert [21], Caldeira & Wickett [22], Sabine et al. [23], Takahashi [24] who consider that $[CO₂]$ fluctuated between 270 and 280 ppm until the industrial revolution.

2. THE SEED OF ARRHENIUS (1896)

Callendar in1938 brought up the seed of Arrhenius on the "greenhouse" theory [14]. Slocum [25] showed that Callendar used "double standard" to obtain the global average of $[CO₂]$ for the 19th and 20th centuries. For the 19th century average Calendar rejected $CO₂$ values [17] that were above the global average (292 ppm). Regarding the calculations for the 20th century, Callendar rejected 3 values that were

below the global average (317 ppm). According to Slocum [25] without this manipulation by Callendar, the global average of $[CO₂]$ in the 19th century would be 335 ppm. Thousands of data (> 70,000) of $[CO₂]$ measured directly in the atmosphere from different parts of the world (America, Asia and Europe) during the period from 1812 to 1961 were compiled by Beck [26], Lets & Blake [27] and Benedict [28]. Despite the analyzes using reliable chemical methods (accuracy better than 3%) made by Nobel Prize winning scientists, the data were disregarded by climatologists. These data manipulations have been denounced since the 1950s [6]. The data compiled by Beck and adapted by Jaworoski [6] are presented at Fig. 1. It is clear from Fig. 1 that $[CO₂]$ measured directly in the atmosphere between 1812 and 1961 varies by 150 ppm, above the greatest current variations. Jaworoski et al. [5] pointed to several works up to 1985 that recorded $[CO₂]$ above 2450 ppm in gas inclusions in pre-industrial ice. From 1985 onwards, $[CO₂]$ recorded in gas inclusions in preindustrial ice became smaller and began to be used as evidence of the increase in man-made CO₂. The errors found in the revised values for $CO₂$ inclusions in ice are approximately of the same magnitude as the increase in $CO₂$ in the atmosphere [5]. And what are the causes of these errors? Initially, snow and ice $CO₂$ determinations were made on small glaciers in Norway and later on to Greenland and Antarctica. The isotopic method used initially had an error of $\pm 0.002\%$. It was observed in these studies that $[CO₂]$ in the air trapped in preindustrial and ancient ice was quite high ranged from 100 to 7,400 ppm. It is noteworthy that in publications up to 1985 the $[CO₂]$ in pre-industrial and ancient air obtained from ice are higher than from the contemporary atmosphere. After 1985 the $[CO₂]$ obtained from the ice was in the range of 290 ppm. From a technical-scientific point of view, this discrepancy is not justifiable. Jaworoski et al. [5] conclude that these discrepancies in concentrations do not represent the actual $CO₂$ content in the pre-industrial and ancient atmosphere obtained from ice cores. The recent methodology used on glaciers is unreliable for the paleo-climatic story.

The idea that $CO₂$ affects the planet's temperature is not supported by scientific evidence [14,29-31]. Thieme [31] stated that the laws of physics do not allow for the possibility of small proportions of gases in the Earth's atmosphere, such as $CO₂$, absorbing and transmitting back radiation to warm the Earth's

Fig. 1. First reconstruction of CO² concentration trend in Northern Hemisphere atmosphere, based on current measurement. Source: Modified from Jaworoski, 2007. (This trend in CO² concentration was based on direct measurements in the atmosphere (>90,000) at 43 stations (1812 – 2004)

surface. This reflection cannot occur through homogeneous gases or a mixture of gases. The laws of physics relating to radiation (optics) state that reflection can only run within the boundaries of materials with different optical densities or within the boundaries of materials with different phases (liquid-gas, liquid-solid, or gas-solid). Ball et al. [8] also attest to the impossibility of $CO₂$ affecting the temperature of the atmosphere. These authors further claim that water vapor in the atmosphere does not make the atmosphere hotter, it simply causes the temperature to drop more slowly after sunset. It is due to the absence of water vapor in the desert atmosphere that the temperature during the day is quite high and the night very cold. On the other hand, $CO₂$ is present in the desert atmosphere, and why doesn't it cause the "greenhouse effect"? Because it doesn't have water vapor. Water vapor acts like a thermos, that is, it helps to retain heat in the atmosphere. Without the atmosphere, the planet would be very hot during the day and very cold at night, which is what happens in the desert.

3. PROBLEMS IN THE ICE CORE ASSUMPTIONS

The process of drilling the ice core is brutal and polluting. This process disturbs the ice samples. According to Jaworowski [29] the lead concentration in the Vostok core from a depth of 1,850 m was 5 times greater than the contemporary concentration on the snow surface and at a depth of 2,026 m [Pb] reached 31400 pg/g. Boutron et al. [32] showed that the [Pb] in the Antarctic Holocene ice was less than 0.3 pg/g.This contamination was the result of the drilling fluid, showing that these cores do not

meet the criteria established for closed systems. Therefore, it should not be used to reconstruct $CO₂$ levels in the ancient atmosphere $[6]$. A similar scenario of pollution was recorded in other cores in Antarctica and Greenland.

The ice core during drilling also causes the fractionation of the chemical components of the air, which is related to the solubility of the gases. It is important to note that the liquid phase of water is usually present in polar snow and ice, even at temperatures of -73° C [5,33-34], Fig. 2 The presence of the liquid phase of water at - 73° C completely overturns the first premise that states that the liquid phase of water is not found at -24° C [2-3]. The use of ice cores as a record of $[CO₂]$ in the atmosphere in the past has been criticized by several scientists. The Knudsen diffusion effect drastically consumes the $CO₂$ in the ice present in the core which is exposed to sudden pressure changes (320 bars, ie 300 times the normal atmospheric pressure) [35]. This process of gas transfer between air and snow (air/ice) can occur within the snow and depends on the concentration gradient. It is important to keep in mind that natural ice is a complex substance with the incorporation of 3 phases: liquid, solid and gas. In this context it is assumed that the pre-existing incorporation of the 3 phases does not undergo significant geochemical changes, this condition being the basis for paleoatmospheric studies of ice core using air trapping. On the other hand, Killawee et al. [36], Anklin et al. [37] and Delmas [38] point out that the 3 phases of ice both in nature and in laboratory experiments can modify the composition of ice. There are indications, for example, that the $CO₂$ generated from the reaction of H_2SO_4 with CaCO₃ is the cause of the increase in $[CO₂]$ in the Greenland ice.

Natural meltwater normally has Ca^{2+} and HCO₃ in its chemical composition, which originate from the decomposition of bran from limestone rocks and dust transported by the winds [39]. The precipitation of calcium carbonate is also an important process that produces $CO₂$ [14,40-42]. In this way, it changes the gaseous composition in the ice inclusions.

$$
Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3 = CaCO_3 + CO_2 + H_2O
$$

The assumptions made for the use of ice core in atmospheric $[CO₂]$ records have also not taken into account that transfer processes (diffusion and ventilation) affect the interpretation of ice core and atmospheric chemistry. Both diffusive and advective (ventilation) processes can affect the various characteristics of compacted snow, such as: physical (i.e., temperature), chemical and isotopic. Wind and snow roughness induce a pressure variation across the surface. This pressure variation generates ventilation (or vertical air transport) through the snow that can reach several meters deep [43-44]. Jaworoski et al. [5] also criticized these premises, claiming they are invalid for the following reasons: 1- in Antarctica, the age of air trapped in snow is the same as that of ice. 2- water in the liquid phase is observed in polar ice at temperatures below - 24° C. 3- There are physical and chemical processes in the glacier ice. Thus, contrary to assumptions, air trapping in ice is not simply a mechanical process. Due to the complex nature of ice, this process of air trapping leads to chemical and isotopic changes in the composition of the gas.

Fig. 2. Schematic drawing of snow in the pendulum regime. This type of snow occurs when the water content in the liquid phase is less than ≈ 27%. The 3 phases of water in snow can be observed: solid, liquid and gas. Source: Heil et al., 2020

Silva; IJECC, 12(11): 3462-3470, 2022; Article no.IJECC.93229

4. HOW IS AIR TRAPPED IN THIS COMPLEX SUBSTANCE CALLED A GLACIER?

Snowflakes that fall on the icy surface take with them the gaseous components and aerosols present in the atmosphere. The flakes of ice deposited annually on the icy surface form consecutive layers of compacted snow (Fig. 3), giving rise to extensive stratified ice mass (i.e., Vostok station). Air is trapped inside the snow crystals in 2 ways: 1- in the liquid phase and 2- in the empty pores. After the transition from snow to ice, the air bubbles are completely isolated. These features in glacier structures have motivated studies on changes in atmospheric composition in the past (hundreds and thousands of years ago). Assuming that the concentration of a chemical species (i.e., $CO₂$) present in the air bubble of ice samples is directly proportional to its original concentration in the atmosphere. This premise did not consider that atmospheric gases are not insoluble like particulate matter also found in ice.

5. IS CO² RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PLANET'S CLIMATE?

Celestial phenomena are responsible for climate variability, on a time scale from days to millennia. Empirical observations and laboratory experiments at the European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN) show a connection between solar winds and climate [45-49]. The increase in solar activity not only results in an increase in the flow of thermal energy, but also in an increase in the intensity of the solar wind, which decreases the flux of cosmic rays (FCR) reaching the Earth. There is a strong correlation between FRC and cloud cover on the time scale of days to decades [48,50]. Climate anomalies, for example, in Antarctica have pointed to the importance of clouds in climate change [47]. In summary, we have the following scenario:

Solar Ativity↑ → Solar Wind ↑ → FCR↓ → Cloud Cover↓ → Temperature↑

Importantly, low clouds (<3 km) cover about 1/4 of the planet and are responsible for cooling the planet. The formation of these clouds is influenced by cosmic rays (Fig. 4) [47].

The temperature obtained isotopically in the ice cores also draws attention and contributed to the understanding of the planet's climate thousands

of years ago. Climate studies carried out in Antarctica and Greenland show that first there was an increase in temperature in these regions and then there was an increase in the concentration of "greenhouse gases" in the atmosphere. The Vostok data suggest that both the South Atlantic Ocean and the North Atlantic

Deep Water (NADW) regulated the changes of $CO₂$ in the atmosphere in the glacial-interglacial. It is important to emphasize that the climate has always influenced the concentration of $CO₂$ in the atmosphere and not the opposite. The increase in temperature always precedes the increase in $CO₂$ in the atmosphere [29, 51-52].

Fig. 3. Schematic drawing of the formation of the glacier compacted from the flakes of ice deposited *Source:*

[https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&url=https%3A%2F%2Fslideplayer.com.br%2Fslide%2F1634205%2F&psig=AO](https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&url=https%3A%2F%2Fslideplayer.com.br%2Fslide%2F1634205%2F&psig=AOvVaw3pWE7Qfk1DQrbcC27EhShZ&ust=1637275819473000&source=images&cd=vfe&ved=0CAsQjRxqFwoTCKi_qe-9oPQCFQAAAAAdAAAAABAI) [vVaw3pWE7Qfk1DQrbcC27EhShZ&ust=1637275819473000&source=images&cd=vfe&ved=0CAsQjRxqFwoTC](https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&url=https%3A%2F%2Fslideplayer.com.br%2Fslide%2F1634205%2F&psig=AOvVaw3pWE7Qfk1DQrbcC27EhShZ&ust=1637275819473000&source=images&cd=vfe&ved=0CAsQjRxqFwoTCKi_qe-9oPQCFQAAAAAdAAAAABAI) [Ki_qe-9oPQCFQAAAAAdAAAAABAI.](https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&url=https%3A%2F%2Fslideplayer.com.br%2Fslide%2F1634205%2F&psig=AOvVaw3pWE7Qfk1DQrbcC27EhShZ&ust=1637275819473000&source=images&cd=vfe&ved=0CAsQjRxqFwoTCKi_qe-9oPQCFQAAAAAdAAAAABAI)

6. CONCLUSION

It is important that the scientific measurement methods used in the $CO₂$ records in ice cores to understand the $[CO₂]$ in the past atmosphere be reviewed. And a complete review of $CO₂$ data, from ice cores or collected directly from the atmosphere during the $19th$ and $20th$ centuries, used for modeling that sustain global warming by anthropogenic $CO₂$ emissions is necessary. The recent methodology used on glaciers is unreliable for the paleo-climatic story.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank Mr. Carlos J. O. e Silva and Ms. Ingrid A. B. de Araújo who assisted with graphics.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Svante, A. On the influence of carbonic acid in the air upon the temperature on the ground. Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science. 1896;41:237.
- 2. Friedli H, Lötscher H, Oeschger H, Siegenthaler U, Stauffer B. Ice core record of the ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratio of atmospheric CO₂ in the past two centuries. Nature. 1986; 324(6094):237–238.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1038/324237a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/324237a0)

3. Berner W, Bucher P, Oescher H, Stauffer B. Analysis and interpretation of gas content and composition in natural ice. IAHS Publication. 1977;118:272– 294.

Available[:https://iahs.info/uploads/dms/475](https://iahs.info/uploads/dms/4756.272-284-118-Berner-opt.pdf) [6.272-284-118-Berner-opt.pdf](https://iahs.info/uploads/dms/4756.272-284-118-Berner-opt.pdf)

4. Oeschger H. The contribution of ice core studies to the understanding of environmental processes. In Langway, C., H. Oeschger, & Dansgaard, W. (Eds.), Greenland ice core: Geophysics, Geochemistry, and the Environment. 1985;33:9-17.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1029/gm033p0](https://doi.org/10.1029/gm033p0009) [009](https://doi.org/10.1029/gm033p0009)

5. Jaworowski Z, Segalstad TV, Ono N. Do glaciers tell a true atmospheric $CO₂$ story? Science of The Total Environment. 1992; 114:227–284.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(92)90428-u) [9697\(92\)90428-u](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(92)90428-u)

- 6. Jaworowski Z. $CO₂$: The greatest scientific scandal of our time. Executive Intelligence Review. 2007;34(11):38-53. Available[:https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/view](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.450.9984&rep=rep1&type=pdf) [doc/download?doi=10.1.1.450.9984&rep=r](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.450.9984&rep=rep1&type=pdf) [ep1&type=pdf](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.450.9984&rep=rep1&type=pdf)
- 7. Easterbrook DJ. Solar influence on recurring global, decadal, climate cycles recorded by glacial fluctuations, ice cores, sea surface temperatures, and historic measurements over the past millennium [Abstract]. AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts, San Francisco, USA; 2008. Abstract retrieved from

[https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008AG](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008AGUFMGC21A0725E/abstract) [UFMGC21A0725E/abstract](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008AGUFMGC21A0725E/abstract)

8. Ball T, Johnson C, Hertzberg M, Olson JA, Siddons A, Anderson C, .O'Sullivan J. Slaying the Sky Dragon - Death of the Greenhouse Gas Theory (1st ed.). Stairway Press; 2011;

Available[:https://stairwaypress.com/produc](https://stairwaypress.com/product/slaying-the-sky-dragon-death-of-the-greenhouse-gas-theory/) [t/slaying-the-sky-dragon-death-of-the](https://stairwaypress.com/product/slaying-the-sky-dragon-death-of-the-greenhouse-gas-theory/)[greenhouse-gas-theory/](https://stairwaypress.com/product/slaying-the-sky-dragon-death-of-the-greenhouse-gas-theory/)

- 9. Easterbrook DJ. Geologic evidence of recurring climate cycles and their implications for the cause of global climate changes—the past is the key to the future. In D. J. Easterbrook (Ed.), Evidence-Based Climate Science (pp. 3-51). Elsevier; 2011. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385956-3.10001-4) [12-385956-3.10001-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385956-3.10001-4)
- 10. Johnson JS, Bentley MJ, Smith J A, Finkel RC, Rood DH, Gohl K, Schaefer JM. Rapid thinning of Pine Island glacier in the early Holocene. Science. 2014;343(6174):999– 1001. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247385)

[247385](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247385)

- 11. Turner J, Lu H, White I, King JC, Phillips T, Hosking JS, Deb P. Absence of 21st century warming on Antarctic Peninsula consistent with natural variability. Nature. 2016;535(7612):411–415. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1038/nature186](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18645) [45](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18645)
- 12. Turner J, Gudmundsson GH, Jenkins A, Bingham RG, Hillenbrand C-D, Bracegirdle TJ. Atmosphere-ocean-ice interactions in the Amundsen Sea Embayment, West Antarctica. Reviews of Geophysics. 2017;55(1):235–276.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1002/2016rg00](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016rg000532) [0532](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016rg000532)

13. Turner J, Marshall GJ, Clem K, Colwell S, Phillips T, Lu H. Antarctic temperature variability and change from station data. International Journal of Climatology. 2019; 40:2986-3007.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6378](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6378)

- 14. Ramos e Silva, CA. Ed. (in press). Oceanografia Química (2nd ed.). 2022 Editora Interciência.
- 15. Wagner F, Aaby B, Visscher H. Rapid atmospheric $CO₂$ changes associated with the 8,200-years-B.P. cooling event. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2002;99(19):12011–12014. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.182](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.182420699) [420699](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.182420699)
- 16. Royer DL, Wing SL, Beerling DJ, Jolley DW, Koch PL, Hickey LJ, Berner RA. Paleobotanical evidence for near presentday levels of atmospheric $CO₂$ during part of the Tertiary. Science. 2001;292(5525), 2310–2313. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.292.5525.2310) [92.5525.2310](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.292.5525.2310)
- 17. Kürschner WM, van der Burgh J, Visscher H, Dilcher DL. Oak leaves as biosensors of late neogene and early pleistocene paleoatmospheric $CO₂$ concentrations. Marine Micropaleontology. 1996;27(1-4):299–312.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8398(95)00067-4) [8398\(95\)00067-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8398(95)00067-4)

18. Barry JP, Tyrell T, Hansson L, Plattner GK, Gattuso JP. Atmospheric $CO₂$ targets for ocean acidification perturbation experiments. In Riebesell, U., Fabry, V. J., Hansson, L., & Gattuso, J. P. (Eds.), Guide to Best Practices for Ocean Acidification Research and Data Reporting. 2010;53- 66.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.7892/BORIS.46](https://doi.org/10.7892/BORIS.4621) [21](https://doi.org/10.7892/BORIS.4621)

- 19. Dore JE, Lukas R, Sadler DW, Church MJ, Karl DM. Physical and biogeochemical modulation of ocean acidification in the central North Pacific. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2009; 106(30):12235–12240. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.090](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906044106) [6044106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906044106)
- 20. Feely RA, Sabine CL, Hernandez-Ayon JM, Ianson D, Hales B. Evidence for upwelling of corrosive "acidified'' water onto the continental shelf. Science. 2008; 320(5882):1490–1492.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155676) [155676](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155676)

21. Blackford JC, Gilbert FJ. pH variability and CO₂ induced acidification in the North Sea. Journal of Marine Systems. 2007;64(1- 4):229–241.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.03.016) [2006.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.03.016)

22. Caldeira K. Ocean model predictions of chemistry changes from carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere and ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research. 2005; 110(C9).

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1029/2004jc00](https://doi.org/10.1029/2004jc002671) [2671](https://doi.org/10.1029/2004jc002671)

- 23. Sabine CL, Feely RA, Gruber N, Key RM, Lee K, Bullister JL, Rios AF. The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂. Science 2004; 305(5682):367–371. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097403) [097403](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097403)
- 24. Takahashi T. Ocean Science. The fate of industrial carbon dioxide. Science. 2004; 305(5682):352–353. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1100602) [100602](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1100602)
- 25. Slocum G. Has the amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere changed significantly since the beginning of the twentieth century? Monthly Weather Review. 1995;83(10):225–231. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1955)083%3c0225:HTAOCD%3e2.0.CO;2) [0493\(1955\)083<0225:HTAOCD>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1955)083%3c0225:HTAOCD%3e2.0.CO;2)
- 26. Beck E-G. 180 Years of atmospheric $CO₂$ gas analysis by chemical methods. Energy & Environment. 2007;18(2):259– 282. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1260/09583050](https://doi.org/10.1260/095830507780682147)

[7780682147](https://doi.org/10.1260/095830507780682147)

- 27. Letts EA, Blake RF. The carbonic anhydride of the atmosphere. Roy. Dublin Soc. Sc. Proc. (Vol. 9). 1900; Scientific Proceedings of the Royal Dublin Society.
- 28. Benedict FG. The composition of the atmosphere. Carnegie Publication. 1912; 166, Washington, D.C.
- 29. Jaworowski Z. The sun, not man, still rules our climate. Polityka: 21st Century Science & Technology.2009; Spring. 2009;1-19. Available[:https://21sci](https://21sci-tech.com/Articles_2009/Sun_Climate_sp09.pdf)[tech.com/Articles_2009/Sun_Climate_sp09](https://21sci-tech.com/Articles_2009/Sun_Climate_sp09.pdf) [.pdf](https://21sci-tech.com/Articles_2009/Sun_Climate_sp09.pdf)
- 30. Florides GA, Christodoulides P. Global warming and carbon dioxide through

sciences. Environment International. 2008; 35(2):390–401.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.07.007) [008.07.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.07.007)

- 31. Thieme H. Greenhouse Gas Hypothesis Violates Fundamentals of Physics. Contribution to the discussion about Climate Change; 2007. Available[:http://real](http://real-planet.eu/treibhauseffekt.htm)[planet.eu/treibhauseffekt.htm](http://real-planet.eu/treibhauseffekt.htm)
- 32. Boutron CF, Patterson CC, Barkov NI. The occurrence of zinc in Antarctic ancient ice and recent snow. Earth and Planetary Science Letters. 1990;101(2-4):248–259. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(90)90157-s) [821x\(90\)90157-s](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821x(90)90157-s)
- 33. Heil J, Mohammadian B, Sarayloo M, Bruns K, Sojoudi H. Relationships between surface properties and snow adhesion and its shedding mechanisms. Applied Sciences. 2020;10(16):5407. Available[:https://doi.org/10.3390/app10165](https://doi.org/10.3390/app10165407) [407](https://doi.org/10.3390/app10165407)
- 34. Maeno N. Air Bubble Formation in Ice Crystals. Physics of Snow and Ice Proceedings. 1967;1(1):207-218. Available[:https://eprints.lib.hokudai.ac.jp/d](https://eprints.lib.hokudai.ac.jp/dspace/handle/2115/20297) [space/handle/2115/20297](https://eprints.lib.hokudai.ac.jp/dspace/handle/2115/20297)
- 35. Hurd B. Analyses of $CO₂$ and other atmospheric gases. Australasian Institute of Geologists News. 2006;86,10-11. Available[:https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/view](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.681.7498&rep=rep1&type=pdf#page=10) [doc/download?doi=10.1.1.681.7498&rep=r](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.681.7498&rep=rep1&type=pdf#page=10) [ep1&type=pdf#page=10](https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.681.7498&rep=rep1&type=pdf#page=10)
- 36. Killawee J, Fairchild I, Tison J-L, Janssens L, Lorrain R. Segregation of solutes and gases in experimental freezing of dilute solutions: Implications for natural glacial systems. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 1998;62(23-24):3637–3655. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-7037(98)00268-3) [7037\(98\)00268-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-7037(98)00268-3)
- 37. Anklin M, Schwander J, Stauffer B, Tschumi J, Fuchs A, Barnola JM, Raynaud D. $CO₂$ record between 40 and 8 kyr B.P. from the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans. 1997;102(C12):26539–26545. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1029/97jc0018](https://doi.org/10.1029/97jc00182) [2](https://doi.org/10.1029/97jc00182)
- 38. Delmas RJ. A natural artefact in Greenland ice-core $CO₂$ measurements. Tellus B. 1993;45(4): 391–396. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1993.t01-3-00006.x) [0889.1993.t01-3-00006.x](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1993.t01-3-00006.x)

39. Fairchild IJ., Bradby L, Sharp M, Tison J-L. Hydrochemistry of carbonate terrains in Alpine glacial settings. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms. 1994;19(1):33– 54.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290](https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290190104) [190104](https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290190104)

- 40. Ramos e Silva CA, Monteiro NSC., Cavalcante LM., Junior WT, Rocha Carneiro ME, Soares de Souza FE, Garcia CAB. Inventory of water masses and carbonate system from Brazilian's northeast coast: Monitoring ocean acidification. Plos One. 2022;17(7): e0271875. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.po](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271875) [ne.0271875](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271875)
- 41. Ramos e Silva CA, Fernandes LVG, Souza FES, Marotta H, Fernandes FC, Machado F, Santos LCS. Carbonate system in the Cabo Frio upwelling [Manuscript submitted for publication]; 2022.
- 42. Ramos e Silva CA, Davalos PB, Silva MP, Miranda LB, Calado L. Variability and transport of inorganic carbon dioxide in a tropical estuary. Journal of Oceanography and Marine Research. 2017;05(01). Available[:https://doi.org/10.4172/2572-](https://doi.org/10.4172/2572-3103.1000155) [3103.1000155](https://doi.org/10.4172/2572-3103.1000155)
- 43. Albert MR, Shultz EF. Snow and firn properties and air–snow transport processes at Summit, Greenland. Atmospheric Environment. 2002;36(15- 16):2789–2797. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(02)00119-x)

[2310\(02\)00119-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(02)00119-x)

- 44. Albert MR, Hawley RL. Seasonal changes in snow surface roughness characteristics at Summit, Greenland: Implications for snow and firn ventilation. Annals of Glaciology. 2002;35:510–514. Available[:https://doi.org/10.3189/17275640](https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781816591) 2781816591
- 45. Dorman LI. Solar wind, cosmic rays and space weather: effects on global climate change. Annales Geophysicae. 2012; 30(1):9–19. Available[:https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-30-](https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-30-9-2012) [9-2012](https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-30-9-2012)
- 46. Usoskin IG, Kovaltsov GA. Cosmic rays and climate of the Earth: Possible connection. Comptes Rendus Geoscience. 2008;340(7):441–450. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.200](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2007.11.001) [7.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2007.11.001)
- 47. Svensmark H. Cosmoclimatology: a new theory emerges. Astronomy & Geophysics. 2007; 48(1):1.18–1.24. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4004.2007.48118.x) [4004.2007.48118.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4004.2007.48118.x)
- 48. Shaviv N, Veizer J. Celestial driver of Phanerozoic climate? GSA Today. 2003;13(7):4-10. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1130/1052-](https://doi.org/10.1130/1052-5173(2003)013%3C0004:CDOPC%3E2.0.CO;2) [5173\(2003\)013<0004:CDOPC>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/1052-5173(2003)013%3C0004:CDOPC%3E2.0.CO;2)
- 49. Kirkby J, Laaksonen A. Solar variability and clouds – discussion session 3c. Space Science Reviews. 2000;94:397–409. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:102671](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026712518869) [2518869](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026712518869)
- 50. Solanki SK. Sunspots: An overview. Astronomy and Astrophysics Review. 2003;11(2-3):153–286.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-003-0018-4) [003-0018-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-003-0018-4)

- 51. Caillon N, Jouzel J, Severinghaus JP, Chappellaz J, Blunier T. A novel method to study the phase relationship between Antarctic and Greenland climate. Geophysical Research Letters. 2003; 30(17):1899. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1029/2003gl01](https://doi.org/10.1029/2003gl017838)
- [7838](https://doi.org/10.1029/2003gl017838) 52. Monnin E, Indermuhle A, Dallenbach A, Fluckiger J, Stauffer B, Stocker TF, Barnola JM. Atmospheric $CO₂$
concentrations over the last glacial concentrations over termination. Science. 2001;291(5501): 112–114.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.291.5501.112) [91.5501.112](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.291.5501.112)

© 2022 Silva; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93229*